

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,329	03/23/2004	Youti Kuo	1740	
75	90 06/12/2006		EXAMINER	
YOUTI KUO 88 FOXBOURNE ROAD			MANAHAN, TODD E	
PENFIELD, N			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office A. Care Surramona	10/806,329	KUO, YOUTI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Todd E. Manahan	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5,10 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 March 2004</u> is/are			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/(Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/23/04</u>. 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann (United States Patent No. 2,556,584) in view of Robinson et al. (United States Patent No. 2,401,318).

Hofmann discloses a toothbrush container comprising a tubing 10a having an annular wall 11a with an open top and bottom; a top cap 26a mounted on the open top having a first annular wall and a closed wall; and a bottom cap 26a mounted on the open bottom (see figure 7). Hofmann discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the opposing vent holes in the tubing and corresponding vent holes in the top and bottom caps. Robinson et al. disclose a toothbrush container comprising a tubing 3 having an annular wall with an open top and a vent hole in the annular wall and a top cap 12 mounted on the open top having a first annular wall and a closed wall with a vent opening 14 in the annular wall selectively positionable with the vent opening in the tubing so as to open and close the vent holes (see figure 2). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the toothbrush holder of Hofmann with a vent hole in the annular wall of the tubing and corresponding vent hole in the cap(s) in view of Robinson et al. in order permit passage of air therethrough to facilitate drying of the toothbrush. Regarding the limitation of there being two opposing vent holes in the tubing and the caps, it has been held

that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann in view of Robinson et al. as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Labranche et al. (United States Patent No. 6,009,886).

Labranche et al. disclose a toothbrush holder having a cap with and upper wall extending therefrom forming a floss dispensing housing with a hinged a hinged housing cover and floss cutter 98 mounted on the extending upper wall. The floss cutter comprises a blade element forming a V-shape bent with a mounting base (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the top cap of the device of the combination Hofmann as modified by Robinson et al. with an extending upper wall forming a floss dispensing housing with a hinged a hinged housing cover and floss cutter mounted on the extending upper wall in view of Labranche et al. in order to provide the user with convenient access to dental floss.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-5, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272-4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Todd E. Manahan

Primary Examiner Art <u>Unit</u> 3732 // Wy

T.E. Manahan 8 June 2006